Message
___

Sent: 3/6/2020 4:13:52 PM

To: Barrette, Michael [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=237b7449b0084c8faea3a38d2bb31ea2-Mbarre04]; Buckley, Timothy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=197a3461d9824a17850f34cc2b0b37fe-Buckley, Timothy]

CC: Scheitlin, Tom [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=user5f1cea1a]; Yourish, Jesse [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=e79088bd4f32479fab27f42aac124ccc-Yourish, Je]

Subject: RE: ERG-GWTSC-023 Amendment (12 Feb 2020) v2 - with DSB tjb TS changes.docx

___

Hi Mike/Tim,

First, thank you for the comments and feedback. I want to make sure that I understand the ORD scope correctly relative to the National PFAS Data Explorer (NDPE) and the ECHO PFAS Analytic Tool. I understood that ORD/OSIM was to take over support, maintenance and development of the NDPE which means completing NDPE development preparing for its public release. Subsequent to the release of NDPE ORD/OSIM would continue the sustainment of the NDPE. I interpreted this to mean NDPE is distinct and separate from the ECHO PFAS Analytic tools. Am I understanding this correctly? Or, is my understanding incorrect? When I look at the scope in the task on STREAMS III contract, I find the existing language to encompass both the NDPE and the ECHO PFAS Analytic Tools. I had come to understand that OECA would continue to provide support for the ECHO PFAS Analytic Tools via the BPA that Jesse Yourish oversees. As a result, I've been removing references to ECHO from within the STREAMS task and assuming ORD would fund and support the NDPE on STREAMS separate from OECA's support of the ECHO PFAS Analytic Tools. Do you draw the same distinction between NDPE and the ECHO PFAS Analytic Tools? Or, are all of the Qlik PFAS tools (NDPE and ECHO) to be supported by ERG under the STREAMS contract? Please advise before I make the mistake of taking out language referencing the ECHO PFAS Analytic Tool support from within the task. Lastly, if both ECHO and NDPE are to remain on the same task is OECA funding the ECHO effort and ORD funding the NDPE effort separately? Your assistance is very much appreciated.

Thanks,

Alex

From: Barrette, Michael <Barrette.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 02, 2020 3:17 PM
To: Montilla, Alex <Montilla.Alex@epa.gov>; Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ERG-GWTSC-023 Amendment (12 Feb 2020) v2 - with DSB tjb TS changes.docx

Hi Alex – sorry for delay in review. I have a few comments in here. It may be easier to discuss on the phone if you want to call me. I can't be at tomorrow's check in meeting.

Mike

From: Montilla, Alex
Sent: Monday, March 02, 2020 2:09 PM
To: Burden, David <Burden.David@epa.gov>; Barrette, Michael <Barrette.Michael@epa.gov>
Cc: Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>; Scheitlin, Tom <Scheitlin.Tom@epa.gov>
Subject: ERG-GWTSC-023 Amendment (12 Feb 2020) v2 - with DSB tjb TS changes.docx

Good Afternoon,

Attached is a modified amendment for the NDPE STREAMS III effort. I've incorporated the comments received from Dave, Tim and Tom. I also removed work related to ECHO so to focus the amendment on NDPE support. I have some additional questions for Dave and Mike. Please review and provide your feedback by 6 March. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Alex